

Program Evaluation
Graduation Rates
SPP Part B Indicator 1
School Year 09-10
Report Year 08-09

DistrictNbr/Type: LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS SCHOOL DIST. (0362-01)

Your district had an overall Special Education Graduation Rate of **100.0%**.

Your district performed **above** the state target for this indicator is **80.0%**. The state Special Education Graduation Rate is **84.9%**.

Analysis: The Littlefork-Big Falls School district exceeds the statewide rate and the statewide target rate. In fact, trend data shows that the district has exceeded the target rate over the last 3 years with a 100% special education graduation rate each year.

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Dropout Rates
SPP Part B Indicator 2
School Year 09-10
Report Year 08-09

DistrictNbr/Type: LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS SCHOOL DIST. (0362-01)

Your district had an overall Special Education Dropout Rate of **0.0%**.

Your district performed **below** the state target for this indicator is **4.4%**. The state Special Education Dropout Rate is **4.5%**.

Analysis: The Littlefork-Big Falls School district performed below the statewide rate and the state target rate in this area. In fact, Littlefork-Big Falls had 0.0% Special Education Dropout Rate 5 out of the past 6 years.

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Student Achievement Rates
SPP Part B Indicator 3
School Year 09-10
Report Year 08-09

DistrictNbr/Type: LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS SCHOOL DIST. (0362-01)

Section 1: Participation in Statewide Assessments

Your district had an overall Special Education Participation Rate of **100.0%**

Your district performed **above** the statewide Special Education Participation Statewide Target of of **95.0%**

Analysis: The Littlefork-Big Falls School district performed above the statewide Special Education Participation Statewide Target.

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Student Achievement Rates
SPP Part B Indicator 3
School Year 09-10
Report Year 08-09

DistrictNbr/Type: LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS SCHOOL DIST. (0362-01)

Program Evaluation
Student Achievement Rates
SPP Part B Indicator 3
School Year 09-10
Report Year 08-09

DistrictNbr/Type: LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS SCHOOL DIST. (0362-01)

Section 2: Proficiency in Grades and Skills Assessed

Was your district at or above the Special Education Proficiency Target for grades and skills assessed?

Grade	State Target Met?	Skills Assessed	State Target Met?	Skills Assessed
3	Yes	Math	Yes	Reading
4	Yes	Math	No	Reading
5	No	Math	No	Reading
6	No	Math	Yes	Reading
7	Yes	Math	Yes	Reading
8	No	Math	No	Reading
10	N/A	Math	No	Reading
11	No	Math	N/A	Reading

Program Evaluation
Student Achievement Rates
SPP Part B Indicator 3
School Year 09-10
Report Year 08-09

DistrictNbr/Type: LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS SCHOOL DIST. (0362-01)

Analysis - circumstance(s) that contributed to your district's proficiency rates:

Trend data for both math and reading have a high level of variability from year to year regarding percentage of students meeting proficiency. Factors that contribute to this variability of data are thought to be small cell size, varying disability related needs within each cell, and the change in statewide proficiency targets each year.

Using data points from the last four years, a trend line was added to see if the percentage of students meeting proficiency at each grade level is showing improvement over time. In the area of math, the trendline is showing improvement for grades 3,4,7, and 8. Trend lines for grades 5, 6, and 11 are slowly moving downward.. MDE has created a growth model to help parents and educators track students' progress toward proficiency from year-to-year. Using special education subgroup data from the MDE website, Littlefork-Big Falls School district had 26% of their students with disabilities who were not proficient but made exceptional growth in the area of math, 32% of the students not proficient in math but made some growth and only 11% of students not proficient made low growth. It should be noted that all percentages were in a count cell of 10 or fewer students.

In the area of reading, data points from last four years were used to form a trendline to determine if the percentage of students meeting proficiency in the area of reading was increasing over time. Trendlines showing improvement included grades 3, 6, 7, and 8. Trend lines for grades 4, 5, and 10 are on the downside. Using the growth model provided by MDE in the area of reading, 14% of students with disabilities identified as not proficient made exceptional growth, 27% made some growth and 23% made low growth.

Analysis - current activities and links that contribute to your district improvement efforts:

No data available

Degree of need: Medium

Program Evaluation
Student Achievement Rates
SPP Part B Indicator 3
School Year 09-10
Report Year 08-09

DistrictNbr/Type: LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS SCHOOL DIST. (0362-01)

Section 3: Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in the Special Education Subgroup

Did your district make Adequate Yearly Progress? Yes

Analysis: No data available

Program Evaluation
Suspensions and Expulsions
SPP Part B Indicator 4
School Year 09-10
Report Year 08-09

DistrictNbr/Type: LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS SCHOOL DIST. (0362-01)

Your district had an overall Special Education Suspension/Expulsion Rate of **0.0%**

Your district performed **below** the Suspension/Expulsion rate among general education students of **0.2%**

Analysis: The Littlefork-Big Falls School district performed below the statewide rate and the state target rate in this area. In fact, trendlines indicate that Littlefork-Big Falls has had 0.0% Special Education Dropout over the past years.

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Federal Instructional Settings 6 - 21
SPP Part B Indicator 5
School Year 09-10
Report Year 08-09

DistrictNbr/Type: LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS SCHOOL DIST. (0362-01)

Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day, Part B Indicator 5A:

Your district had an overall Instructional Setting Rate of **71.0%**.

Your district performed **above** the state target for this indicator of **62.0%**. The state Instructional Setting Rate is **60.8%**.

Analysis: After a three year trend of serving fewer students in this instructional setting, the Littlefork-Big Falls School district showed an upward climb to 71.0%. This is above the state target Instructional Setting Rate of 62.0%

Degree of Need: Low

Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day, Part B Indicator 5B:

Your district had an overall Instructional Setting Rate of **3.2%**

Your district performed **above** the state target for this indicator of **9.1**. The state Instructional Setting Rate is **10.3**.

Analysis: The Littlefork-Big Falls performed below the state target Instructional Setting Rate of 9.1%.

Degree of Need: Low

Served in separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements, Part B Indicator 5C:

Your district had an overall Instructional Setting Rate of **0.0**

Your district performed **below** the state target for this indicator of **4.7%**. The state Instructional Setting Rate is **4.3%**.

Analysis: Littlefork-Big Falls District continues to perform below the state target Instructional Setting Rate in this area.

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Federal Instructional Settings 3 - 5
SPP Part B Indicator 6
School Year 09-10
Report Year 08-09

DistrictNbr/Type: LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS SCHOOL DIST. (0362-01)

Special education and services in settings with typically developing peers, Part B Indicator 6:

A district's overall performance on this indicator can be improved by working to reduce the number of children who do not participate in regular early childhood programs. Your district rate for children who attended a special education program in a class with less than 50% children without disabilities was **0.0%**.

Your district performed **below** the state rate for this instructional setting of **23.6%**

Analysis: No data available

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Natural Environment Birth - 3
SPP Part C Indicator 2
School Year 09-10
Report Year 09-10

DistrictNbr/Type: LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS SCHOOL DIST. (0362-01)

Early Intervention Services at home or in community settings, Part C Indicator 2:

Your district had an overall preliminary 09-10 Natural Environment Setting Rate of **90.0%**.

Your district performed **below** the 09-10 state target for this indicator of **92.5%**. The preliminary 09-10 state Natural Environment Rate is **95.5%**

Analysis: BRIC is the special education administrative unit that serves 11 rural school districts across 8000 square miles. Total cell size is a factor (37 infants/ toddlers). Local data collected across all eleven districts indicates that during the 08-09 school year there were 2 toddlers with hearing impairments and 1 toddler on the autism spectrum that were served in school based settings to better meet the children's unique instructional needs. For 2008-09, BRIC is one tenth of a percent below the statewide target.

Degree of Need: Low

**Program Evaluation
Child Find Birth - 1
SPP Part C Indicator 5
School Year 09-10
Report Year 09-10**

DistrictNbr/Type: LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS SCHOOL DIST. (0362-01)

Children Birth to Age 1 with Individual Family Service Plans (IFSPs), Part C Indicator 5:

Your district had an overall preliminary 09-10 Child Find Rate of **1.32%**.

Your district performed **above** the 09-10 state target for this indicator of **0.85%**. The preliminary 09-10 state Child Find Rate is **0.76%**.

Analysis: No data available

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Child Find Birth - 3
SPP Part C Indicator 6
School Year 09-10
Report Year 09-10

DistrictNbr/Type: LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS SCHOOL DIST. (0362-01)

Children Birth to Age 3 with Individual Family Service Plans (IFSPs), Part C Indicator 6:

Your district had an preliminary 09-10 overall Child Find Rate of **2.69%**

Your district performed **above** the 09-10 state target for this indicator of **2.25%**. The preliminary 09-10 state Instructional Setting Rate is **2.18%**.

Analysis: No data available

Degree of Need: Low

Program Evaluation
Part C Family Outcomes
SPP Part C Indicator 4
School Year 09-10
Report Year 08-09

DistrictNbr/Type: LITTLEFORK-BIG FALLS SCHOOL DIST. (0362-01)

Know their rights, Part C Indicator 4A:

Your Administrative Unit had an overall Family Outcomes Rate of **100%**

Your Administrative Unit performed **above** the state target for this indicator of **85%**. The state Family Outcomes Rate is **81%**.

Effectively communicate their children's needs, Part C Indicator 4B:

Your Administrative Unit had an overall Family Outcomes Rate of **100%**

Your Administrative Unit performed **above** the state target for this indicator of **86%**. The state Family Outcomes Rate is **87%**.

Help their children develop and learn, Part C Indicator 4C:

Your Administrative Unit had an overall Family Outcomes Rate of **100%**

Your Administrative Unit performed **above** the state target for this indicator is **93%**. The state Family Outcomes Rate of **90%**.

Analysis:

The BRIC survey response rate moved from 13% in 07-08 to 14% in 08-09. Local cooperative data estimates that there were 7 children who met criteria for survey completion during the 08-09 year, making cell size a contributing factor. ECSE teachers were reminded quarterly during 08-09 about the Part C Family Outcomes Survey requirement. Completion of the Part C Family Outcomes Survey was a training item for ECSE staff in January, 2009. Subsequent to this training, ECSE staff due process quarterly printouts reflected the child's age in years and months to better anticipate exit from Part C. A survey completion incentive program was initiated with parents during the 2009-10 school year. The results of this incentive program should be reflected in the 2009-10 data profile. Anecdotal reports from ECSE staff indicate that the incentive program is meeting with success.

Degree of Need:

High